

From: Sgraves@TechLawInc.com
Sent: 1/6/2012 2:21:17 PM

To: "Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US" <Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
CC: "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>; "Carter," <Joe" Jcarter@TechLawInc.com>
Subject: RE: SAP Dimock

Rich,

Here are a couple questions that we have for you.

1. Surface water sampling was discussed in the Sampling Plan, but the Tables do not account for any of the surface water samples. Do you want us to add surface water samples to the Tables and if so do you want them to be analyzed for the same suite of parameters as the drinking water samples?
2. In an e-mail correspondence from 12/28/2011, Dr. Ludwig noted that he does not analyze for oil and grease. We currently have oil and grease as one of the parameters we are analyzing for. Would you like us to remove it?
3. On 1/4/2012, you had asked why we were only analyzing 10 samples for the Isotech Method. I had provided an explanation to you and I just wanted to double check with you to see if you were OK with the 10 samples or if you had wanted to increase it to 71 like all the other parameters.
4. The way it currently stands is that all the samples will be analyzed by EPA labs, except for the Isotech and bacteria samples. The EPA labs typically provide a validated data package. Do you want us to request Fort Meade to validate our lab data (Isotech and bacteria)?
5. I also wanted to let you know that as you requested we will be working on documenting a rationale for why each parameter was selected to be analyzed for.

Thanks.

Suddha Graves

TechLaw, Inc.

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Rupert [<mailto:Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>]

Sent: Friday, January 06, 2012 12:51 PM

To: Graves, Suddha

Subject: SAP Dimock

Suddha, is there anything that you or your team is waiting on from me?

Richard Rupert
On-Scene Coordinator
Region III U.S.Environmental Protection Agency
1650 Arch Street, 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029
(215) 814-3463 FAX (215) 814-3254
e-mail rupert.richard@epa.gov